arXiv:1512.08931v1 [math.GT] 30 Dec 2015

Rasmussen s-invariants of satellites do not detect slice knots

Jae Choon Cha and Min Hoon Kim

Dedicated to the memory of Tim Cochran

Abstract. We present a large family of knots for which the Rasmussen s-invariants of arbitrary satellites do not detect sliceness. This answers a question of Hedden. The proof hinges on work of Kronheimer-Mrowka and Cochran-Harvey-Horn.

1. Introduction

In [Ras10], Rasmussen introduced a smooth knot concordance invariant $s(K)$ using a deformed version of Khovanov homology. In general, while invariants from Khovanov homology have common aspects with and are related to those from Heegaard Floer homology, it is expected and often confirmed that they behave very distinctly. For instance, the volume conjecture tells us that Jones polynomials of cables contain significantly more information than the Alexander polynomials of cables (which are completely determined by the Alexander polynomial of the initially given knot). In case of the s-invariant, it shares several properties with the τ-invariant of Ozsváth-Szabó and Rasmussen, which may be viewed as its Heegaard Floer "analog", but Hedden and Ording showed that s is independent from τ [HO08]. Regarding the cabling, Hedden asked the following question:

Question ([Hed09, Question 1.4])**.** Does the Rasmussen s-invariant, applied to all iterated cables of K, determine whether K is smoothly slice?

We remark that the behavior of τ under cabling is well understood by work of Hedden and Hom [Hed05, Hed09, Hom14a]. See also [VC10, Pet13]. In particular the τ version of the above question was answered in the negative, in a similar fashion to the Alexander polynomial case (but in a more sophisticated way) [Hed09, Hom14a]. The s-invariant case was left open, mainly because of the difficulty of analyzing the Khovanov chain complex of cables.

The goal of this note is to answer Hedden's question on the s-invariant by presenting a large family of counterexamples. To state it, we use the following condition for a knot K in S^3, motivated by work of Kronheimer and Mrowka [KM13] and Cochran, Harvey, and Horn [CHH13]:

(KM) There exist pairs (V_+, D_+), (V_-, D_-) of a compact smooth 4-manifold $V_\pm$ and a smoothly embedded disk $D_\pm$ in $V_\pm$ such that $\partial(V_\pm, D_\pm) = (S^3, K)$, $b_1(V_\pm) = 0$, $V_\pm$ is $\pm$-definite, i.e., $b_2^\pm(V_\pm) = b_2(V_\pm)$, and $[D_\pm, \partial D_\pm] = 0$ in $\pi_2(V_\pm, S^3)$.

By [KM13, Corollary 1.1], $s(K) = 0$ if K satisfies (KM).

For knots $K \subset S^3$ and $P \subset S^1 \times D^2$, denote by $P(K)$ the satellite knot with pattern P and companion K. Denote the unknot by U.

Theorem A. *If K is a knot satisfying* (KM)*, then $s(P(K)) = s(P(U))$ for any pattern $P \subset S^1 \times D^2$.*

Consequently, if K satisfies (KM), any iterated cable of K has the same s-invariant as the corresponding iterated cable of the unknot. This answers Hedden's question in the negative.

The collection of knots satisfying (KM) is large. For instance, 0-bipolar knots in the sense of [CHH13] satisfy (KM). Especially if a knot has a diagram from which a slice knot is obtained by changing positive crossings and has a (possibly different) diagram from which a slice knot is obtained by changing negative crossings, then the knot satisfies (KM) [CL86, Lemma 3.4].

To describe explicit examples, let $K(a,-b)$ be the knot shown in Figure 1. Then $K(1,-n)$ and $K(n,-n)$ satisfy (KM) for any $n>0$ by the above. It is known that $K(1,-n)$ for $2\neq n>0$ and $K(n,-n)$ for $n>0$ are not slice, even topologically (e.g., see [CG86, Jia81, Kim05, Cha07]). In fact, they generate a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^\infty\oplus\mathbb{Z}_2^\infty$ in the smooth and topological knot concordance group.



FIGURE 1. The knot $K(a,-b)$.

The figure eight knot is the simplest case ($n=1$). We remark that $K(n,-n)$ with $n>0$ has order 2 in the knot concordance group, and is smoothly rationally slice, that is, bounds a smoothly embedded disk in a rational homology ball whose boundary is S^3. See [Cha07, Theorem 4.16 and Figure 6] for a proof. Consequently $P(K(n,-n))$ is rationally concordant to $P(U)$ for any P. This relates the case of $K(n,-n)$ to an intriguing open question (e.g., see [CP14, Question 2.1]): if K is rationally slice, does $s(K)$ vanish? If so, then one would conclude immediately that $s(P(K(n,-n)))=s(P(U))$.

Our proof of Theorem A, which is given in Section 2, shows the conclusion without the invariance of s under rational concordance. Our method is largely influenced by work of Cochran, Harvey, and Horn [CHH13].

We remark that our argument for the s-invariant applies to the case of the τ-invariant, the ϵ-invariant and the knot Floer chain complex invariant $[CFK^\infty(K)]$ of Hom [Hom14a, Hom14b], and the δ_{p^k}-invariant of Manolescu-Owens [MO07] and Jabuka [Jab12] as well. Using this, we observe that these invariants of arbitrary satellites, even when considered all together, do not detect slice knots:

Theorem B. *There are knots K which generate a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^\infty\oplus\mathbb{Z}_2^\infty$ in the smooth and topological knot concordance groups and satisfy $\bullet(P(K))=\bullet(P(U))$ for any pattern P and $\bullet=s$, τ, ϵ, δ_{p^k}, $[CFK^\infty(-)]$.*

The proof of Theorem B is given in Section 3.

Acknowledgment

The first author was supported by NRF grants 2013067043 and 2013053914.

2. Proof of Theorem A: s-invariant of satellites

Henceforce we assume that everything is smooth. We say that a pattern $Q \subset S^1 \times D^2$ is *slice* if Q viewed as a knot in $S^1 \times D^2 \cup D^2 \times S^1 = \partial(D^2 \times D^2) = S^3$ is slice, that is, $Q(U)$ is slice. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the knot concordance group. The following innocent observation reduces the investigation of the effect of satellite operations on a homomorphism of $\mathcal{C}$ to the case of slice patterns. We state it as a lemma for later use as well:

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose $f\colon \mathcal{C} \to A$ is an abelian group homomorphism and K is a knot in S^3 satisfying $f(Q(K)) = 0$ for any slice pattern Q. Then $f(P(K)) = f(P(U))$ for any pattern P.*

Proof. For a given pattern P, let Q_P be the pattern $P\# - P(U)$, that is, $(S^1 \times D^2, Q_P)$ is the connected sum of two pairs $(S^1 \times D^2, P)$ and $(S^3, -P(U))$. Then $Q_P(J) = P(J)\# - P(U)$ for any knot J. So $Q_P(U) = P(U)\# - P(U)$ is a ribbon knot in S^3 and thus Q_P is a slice pattern. For the given K, by the hypotheses, we have

$$0 = f(Q_P(K)) = f(P(K)\# - P(U)) = f(P(K)) - f(P(U)). \qquad \square$$

The following is a variation of arguments appeared in [CHH13, Proposition 3.3], [CP14, Theorem 2.6 (6)].

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that a knot K in S^3 satisfies* (KM) *and $Q \subset S^1 \times D^2$ is a slice pattern. Then the satellite knot $Q(K)$ satisfies* (KM).

Proof. Suppose K satisfies (KM) via $(V_\pm, D_\pm)$. Choose a slice disk $\Delta \subset D^2 \times D^2$ bounded by $Q \subset S^1 \times D^2 \subset \partial(D^2 \times D^2)$. Choose a diffeomorphism $D^2 \times D^2 \to \nu(D_\pm)$ onto the normal bundle $\nu(D_\pm)$ which sends $D^2 \times 0$ to $D_\pm$ and $p \times D^2$ to a normal disk fiber for each $p \in D^2$. Let $\Delta_\pm$ be the image of the disk Δ under the diffeomorphism. Then $\partial\Delta_\pm = Q(K)$, that is, $\Delta_\pm$ is a slice disk for $Q(K)$ in $V_\pm$.

Now, to show that $Q(K)$ satisfies (KM), it suffices to prove that $[\Delta_\pm, \partial\Delta_\pm] = 0$ in $\pi_2(V_\pm, S^3)$. Consider the following commutative diagram of inclusions, where $\nu(\partial D_\pm) = \nu(D_\pm) \cap S^3$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (\Delta_\pm, \partial\Delta_\pm) & \longrightarrow & (\nu(D_\pm), \nu(\partial D_\pm)) & \xrightarrow{k} & (V_\pm, S^3)] \\ & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle i} & \nearrow{\scriptstyle j} & \\ & & (D_\pm, \partial D_\pm) & & \end{array}$$

The induced map j_* on π_2 is zero by the condition (KM). Since i is a homotopy equivalence, $k_* = 0$ on π_2 too. It follows that $[\Delta_\pm, \partial\Delta_\pm] = 0$ in $\pi_2(V_\pm, S^3)$. $\square$

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem A:

Proof of Theorem A. Suppose that K satisfies (KM). For any slice pattern $Q \subset S^1 \times D^2$, $Q(K)$ satisfies (KM) by Lemma 2.2, and consequently $s(Q(K)) = 0$ by [KM13, Corollary 1.1]. Since $s\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{Z}$ is a homomorphism, $s(P(K)) = s(P(U))$ for all pattern $P \subset S^1 \times D^2$ by Lemma 2.1. $\square$

3. Proof of Theorem B: invariants from Floer homology

Our argument used in Section 2 can be applied to τ, ϵ, $[CFK^\infty(-)]$, and δ_{p^k} in a similar way. We begin with an observation based on Hom's work, which will be used to reduce the cases of ϵ and $[CFK^\infty(-)]$ to the case of τ.

Theorem 3.1 (Hom)**.** *For two knots K and K', the following are equivalent:*

(1) $\epsilon(K\#-K')=0$.
(2) $[CFK^\infty(K)]=[CFK^\infty(K')]$.
(3) $\tau(P(K))=\tau(P(K'))$ *for any pattern* P.
(4) $\epsilon(P(K))=\epsilon(P(K'))$ *for any pattern* P.
(5) $\epsilon(P(K)\#-P(K'))=0$ *for any pattern* P.
(6) $[CFK^\infty(P(K))]=[CFK^\infty(P(K'))]$ *for any pattern* P.

Proof. The equivalences (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) and (5) $\Leftrightarrow$ (6) are definitions in [Hom14b, Corollary 5], and (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3) is due to [Hom14b, Corollary 5].

For (3) $\Rightarrow$ (4), let $P_\pm$ be the pattern obtained by taking $(2,\pm1)$ cable of $P\subset S^1\times D^2$. Denote the (p,q)-cable of a knot J by $J_{p,q}$. Then $P(K)_{2,\pm1}=P_\pm(K)$. Hom showed that the value of $\epsilon(J)$ is determined by the pair of integers $(\tau(J_{2,1}),\tau(J_{2,-1}))$ [Hom14b, Theorem 5.2]. Since $\tau(P_\pm(K))=\tau(P_\pm(K'))$ by (3), it follows that $\epsilon(P(K))=\epsilon(P(K'))$.

For (4) $\Rightarrow$ (3), define $Q_P:=P\#-P(K')$ similarly to the proof of Theorem A. Since $Q_P(K')=P(K')\#-P(K')$ is slice, $\epsilon(Q_P(K'))=0$. By (4), $\epsilon(Q_P(K))=\epsilon(Q_P(K'))=0$. Hom showed that $\tau(J)=0$ whenever $\epsilon(J)=0$ [Hom14b, Theorem 5.2]. Applying this, it follows that $\tau(Q_P(K))=0$. Now $\tau(P(K))-\tau(P(K'))=\tau(P(K)\#-P(K'))=\tau(Q_P(K))=0$.

In the above paragraph we have shown that (4) implies $\epsilon(Q_P(K))=0$. Since $Q_P(K)=P(K)\#-P(K')$, this shows (4) $\Rightarrow$ (5). The converse is a straightforward consequence of Hom's result that $\epsilon(J\#J')=\epsilon(J')$ whenever $\epsilon(J)=0$ [Hom14a, Proposition 3.6 (6)]: indeed, from this and the concordance invariance of ϵ, it follows that $\epsilon(J')=\epsilon(J\#-J'\#J')=\epsilon(J)$ if $\epsilon(J\#-J')=0$. The implication (5) $\Rightarrow$ (4) is a special case of this. □

For the cases of τ, ϵ, $[CFK^\infty]$, and δ_{p^k}, it turns out to be natural to consider the class of *R-homology n-bipolar knots* defined in [CP14]. Here R is a subring of $\mathbb{Q}$. This is a homology version of the notion of n-bipolar knots introduced in [CHH13]. We do not spell out the definition since we do not use it directly; the readers are referred to [CP14, Definition 2.3] for details. We will use the following facts only. For a prime p, denote by $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}=\{a/b\in\mathbb{Q}\mid a,b\in\mathbb{Z},\ p\nmid b\}$, the localization of $\mathbb{Z}$ at p.

(B1) An n-bipolar knot is R-homology n-bipolar for any R [CP14, p. 1544].
(B2) If K is a R-homology n-bipolar knot, then $Q(K)$ is R-homology n-bipolar for any slice pattern Q [CP14, Theorem 2.6 (6)].
(B3) $\tau(K)=0$ if K is $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-homology 0-bipolar for some prime p, or equivalently $\mathbb{Q}$-homology bipolar [CP14, Theorem 2.7].
(B4) $\delta_{p^k}(K)=0$ if K is $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-homology 1-bipolar [CP14, Theorem 2.8].

We remark that (B2), (B3), and (B4) above are mild generalizations of [CHH13, Propositions 3.3, 1.2, and 2.8].

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose K is a knot in S^3 and p is a prime.*

(1) *If K is $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-homology 0-bipolar, $\bullet(P(K))=\bullet(P(U))$ for any pattern P and $\bullet=\tau$, ϵ, $[CFK^\infty(-)]$.*
(2) *If K is $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-homology 1-bipolar, $\delta_{p^k}(P(K))=\delta_{p^k}(P(U))$ for any pattern P.*

Consequently, if K is 1-bipolar, $\bullet(P(K))=\bullet(P(U))$ for any pattern P and $\bullet=s$, τ, ϵ, $[CFK^\infty(-)]$.

Proof. This is shown by a variation of the proof of Theorem A, using (B2) in place of Lemma 2.1. Also, we need to use (B3) and (B4) instead of [KM13, Corollary 1.1]. Details are as follows.

(1) By Theorem 3.1, it suffices to prove the τ case. Suppose K is $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-homology 0-bipolar. For an arbitrary slice pattern Q, $Q(K)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-homology 0-bipolar by (B2). It follows that $\tau(Q(K)) = 0$ by (B3). By Lemma 2.1, $\tau(P(K)) = \tau(P(U))$ for any pattern P.

(2) Suppose that K is $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-homology 1-bipolar. For any slice pattern Q, $Q(K)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-homology 1-bipolar by (B2). It follows that $\delta_{p^k}(Q(K)) = 0$ by (B4). By Lemma 2.1, $\delta_{p^k}(P(K)) = \delta_{p^k}(P(U))$ for any pattern P. □

Proof of Theorem B. There exists a family of 1-bipolar knots, say $\{K_i\}$, which generates a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^\infty \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^\infty$ in the smooth and topological knot concordance groups [CHH13, Theorem 7.1]. Since a 1-bipolar knot satisfies (KM) and is $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-homology 1-bipolar, by Theorem 3.2 we have $\bullet(P(K)) = \bullet(P(U))$ for any pattern P and $\bullet = \tau$, ϵ, $[CFK^\infty(-)]$, δ_{p^k} when K is 1-bipolar, especially when $K = K_i$. □

References

[CG86] Andrew Casson and Cameron Gordon, *Cobordism of classical knots*, À la recherche de la topologie perdue, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1986, With an appendix by P. M. Gilmer, pp. 181–199.

[Cha07] Jae Choon Cha, *The structure of the rational concordance group of knots*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **189** (2007), no. 885, x+95.

[CHH13] Tim D. Cochran, Shelly Harvey, and Peter Horn, *Filtering smooth concordance classes of topologically slice knots*, Geom. Topol. **17** (2013), no. 4, 2103–2162.

[CL86] Tim D. Cochran and W. B. R. Lickorish, *Unknotting information from 4-manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **297** (1986), no. 1, 125–142.

[CP14] Jae Choon Cha and Mark Powell, *Covering link calculus and the bipolar filtration of topologically slice links*, Geom. Topol. **18** (2014), no. 3, 1539–1579.

[Hed05] Matthew Hedden, *On knot Floer homology and cabling*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **5** (2005), 1197–1222.

[Hed09] ———, *On knot Floer homology and cabling. II*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2009), no. 12, 2248–2274.

[HO08] Matthew Hedden and Philip Ording, *The Ozsváth-Szabó and Rasmussen concordance invariants are not equal*, Amer. J. Math. **130** (2008), no. 2, 441–453.

[Hom14a] Jennifer Hom, *Bordered Heegaard Floer homology and the tau-invariant of cable knots*, J. Topol. **7** (2014), no. 2, 287–326.

[Hom14b] ———, *The knot Floer complex and the smooth concordance group*, Comment. Math. Helv. **89** (2014), no. 3, 537–570.

[Jab12] Stanislav Jabuka, *Concordance invariants from higher order covers*, Topology Appl. **159** (2012), no. 10-11, 2694–2710.

[Jia81] Bo Ju Jiang, *A simple proof that the concordance group of algebraically slice knots is infinitely generated*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1981), no. 1, 189–192.

[Kim05] Se-Goo Kim, *Polynomial splittings of Casson-Gordon invariants*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **138** (2005), no. 1, 59–78.

[KM13] P. B. Kronheimer and T. S. Mrowka, *Gauge theory and Rasmussen's invariant*, J. Topol. **6** (2013), no. 3, 659–674.

[MO07] Ciprian Manolescu and Brendan Owens, *A concordance invariant from the Floer homology of double branched covers*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2007), no. 20, Art. ID rnm077, 21.

[Pet13] Ina Petkova, *Cables of thin knots and bordered Heegaard Floer homology*, Quantum Topol. **4** (2013), no. 4, 377–409.

[Ras10] Jacob Rasmussen, *Khovanov homology and the slice genus*, Invent. Math. **182** (2010), no. 2, 419–447.

[VC10] Cornelia A. Van Cott, *Ozsváth-Szabó and Rasmussen invariants of cable knots*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **10** (2010), no. 2, 825–836.

Department of Mathematics, POSTECH, Pohang 790–784, Republic of Korea – and –
School of Mathematics, Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul 130–722, Republic of Korea
E-mail address: jccha@postech.ac.kr

School of Mathematics, Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul 130–722, Republic of Korea
E-mail address: kminhoon@kias.re.kr